MrMcclaughry
3 hours ago

I'm going to be easing up on the throttle just a bit, let Dana get back to whatever it is she needs or wants to. God knows, I gots things to do. Gotta get ready for... that reminds me. There is a lot of attention on my postings about Mike and I's child and what really happened to her. For good reason.

Our beliefs are ours, and they include on-the-ground, so to speak, knowledge. We have the right to have these beliefs/knowledge and to speak on it, OR NOT, always have.

There are those out there who want to call them delusional or crazy or that they prove [fill in the blank]. For those of that bent, you should know better. What you SHOULD be asking yourself is *why* did we speak on them at all. WHY NOW.

That's that 'terrifying' 'insane' what-if territory that many of you prefer to bury your head in the sand while chanting whatever and hope it "all" goes away rather than deal with it.

Well, it's not gonna go away. Not. this. time. And that's a magnificent, spectacularly - words fail me - good thing.

I thank....well, who I thank... EVERY DAY for that the time is finally here, is finally right.

many of you prefer to bury your head in the sand while chanting whatever and hope it "all" goes away rather than deal with it.

Well, it's not gonna go away. Not. this. time. And that's a magnificent, spectacularly - words fail me - good thing.

I thank....well, who I thank... EVERY DAY for that the time is finally here, is finally right.

It has all been worth it.

MrMcclaughry
2 hours ago (edited)

Ok, all you doofballs out there playing at being Christians and those of them who think you understand Scientology, let's get a thing or two straight. We're gonna use Dana @rottingjewels to start. Dana doesn't like the King James version of the bible (neither do I in some cases).

That's what she told me and as I recall she also brought it up in some recent interviews as well.

So... all her going on about her, what she feels is more proper, Christian understandings, which she includes in that nihilism and trashing/insulting God creations such as this planet (she called it a dirtball floating through space or some such) and the human form (she called it a meatsack) - which when caught out on that blatant and far more processean/satanic view - she tried to backpeddle and say it's her self-deprecating nihilistic "joke".

Yea, exactly. Total bullsh**.

That's why I called her a "devout Christian Nihilist". She brought that into the mix, not me.

She also has essentially said that you need a "supernatural" experience to really be a proper Christian like her. I agree with her about experiencing God, the Creator(s) is a supernatural experience, especially but my agreement on that point is not because I agree with the bible nor am I a "Christian"

That's why I called her a "devout Christian Nihilist". She brought that into the mix, not me.

She also has essentially said that you need a "supernatural" experience to really be a proper Christian like her. I agree with her about experiencing God, the Creator(s) is a supernatural experience, especially but my agreement on that point is not because I agree with the bible nor am I a "Christian" - it's not necessary in my experiences. Same reason you don't need Scientology, the list goes on and on.

Then there's her idea that only the demons and satanists aka "bad" people talk about heaven on earth etc. because "this is not her home" and "heaven" can't be here, basically.

Well...John Revelations talks about exactly that heaven will be on earth. I quoted that in a recent post here. Revelations is not entirely inaccurate on that point, interestingly enough, in my view.

But, apparently Dana wants to reject that biblical scripture I guess, I imagine it's probably because she thinks it comes from only the King James version aka the KJV.

To me, this is all alot like Scientologists arguing over which version of something is "standard tech" or "original L. Ron Hubbard" and which isn't, but let's say that's the case - that Dana (or anyone else, for that matter) rejects the new heaven and new earth idea *because* it's the KJV

To me, this is all alot like Scientologists arguing over which version of something is "standard tech" or "original L. Ron Hubbard" and which isn't, but let's say that's the case - that Dana (or anyone else, for that matter) rejects the new heaven and new earth idea *because* it's the KJV.

Let's get the timeline straight on that, here briefly.

The Catholic first printed Latin bible is called the Vulgate. On November 25, 1589, the printing of the Vulgate was finished.

The King James version in English utilized the Vulgate as well as other texts as well. But it pulled Revelations from the Vulgate. The King James Bible was first published in 1611. King James I, a Catholic, authorized a new translation in 1604, and the project took seven years to complete with the help of 54 scholars and multiple committees, the whole thing was led by Francis Bacon, protege of Dr. Dee - yes, THAT Dr. Dee.

The Latin Vulgate translation of the Book of Revelation (titled
Apocalypsis Beati Joannis Apostoli in Latin) presents John's prophetic visions of the end times, focusing on divine judgment, the ultimate victory of Jesus Christ, and the establishment of a new heaven and new earth.

Key themes and pronouncements in the Vulgate's text of Revelation include:

Key themes and pronouncements in the Vulgate's text of Revelation include:

Divine Authority: The book opens with a declaration from God, "I am Alpha and Omega, the beginning and the end, saith the Lord God, who is, and who was, and who is to come, the Almighty" (Ego sum alpha et omega, principium et finis, dicit Dominus Deus: qui est, et qui erat, et qui venturus est, omnipotens).

Vision of Christ: John, on the island of Patmos, sees a vision of one "like to the Son of man" with a sharp two-edged sword coming from his mouth, eyes like a flame of fire, and a face shining like the sun. This figure identifies himself as "the First and the Last, and alive, and was dead, and behold I am living for ever and ever, and have the keys of death and of hell".

The New Creation: The vision culminates in the defeat of evil, the judgment of all people "according to their works" (secundum opera ipsorum), and the revelation of "a new heaven and a new earth," and the descent of the New Jerusalem.

For direct access to the text, you can read the Vulgate's Book of Revelation online, for example, on LatinVulgate.com or Bible Gateway

---

Those release/printing dates are about the first real certainty one can have that the Book of Revelations came out in a bible form

Those release/printing dates are about the first real certainty one can have that the Book of Revelations came out in a bible form.

That's rather key for a whole LOT of reasons.

All the other main bibles riff off these 2, in one way or another.

So, that looks to me like Dana is point blank wrong in her trashing across the boards ANY idea of "a new heaven and a new earth," and the descent of the New Jerusalem as all being HERE not somewhere else - trashing even the idea as not being Christian.

In doing so, I say she ain't no Christian, she's something else entirely.

In this post, I just wanted to get a few 'hard" dates - I call them - more or less, put down on when the daisy chain of 'bibles' started and what was in them re John and Revelations.

And now as to just how off Dana is being, including in her propagandizing that Scientology and Christianity don't "comport" - perhaps it's time we let Loren take the floor as he is far more conversant in this area.

Note: By the way, I'm willing to be corrected but I will make it clear right now that bs backdated declamatio texts - are not a credible source AT ALL. Don't make me prove again how all that "ancient" crap is bullpuckey and was backdated but actually created in the 14 and 1500s. [shakes finger at reader]

And now as to just how off Dana is being, including in her propagandizing that Scientology and Christianity don't "comport" - perhaps it's time we let Loren take the floor as he is far more conversant in this area.

Note: By the way, I'm willing to be corrected but I will make it clear right now that bs backdated declamatio texts - are not a credible source AT ALL. Don't make me prove again how all that "ancient" crap is bullpuckey and was backdated but actually created in the 14 and 1500s...[shakes finger at reader] Kidding! Not kidding.

(image is the 1922 Vulgate edition of the prologue to the Gospels of St. John)

SANCTUM IESU
CHRISTI EVANGELIUM
SECUNDUM IOANNEM
Prologus evangelistæ, 1, 1—18
principio erat verbum, et verbum e
Deum, et Deus erat verbum. 2 Hoc e
Deum.

MrMcclaughry
2.23K subscribers
SUBSCRIBE
Comments